

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,667	03/25/2004	Luca Bertamini	56681 . US	7825
75	590 12/14/2005		EXAMINER	
David E. LaRose, Esq. c/o Luedeka, Neely and Graham, PC			TENTONI, LEO B	
P.O. Box 1871	eery and Granam, i C		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Knoxville, TN	e, TN 37901		1732	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comment	10/809,667	BERTAMINI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leo B. Tentoni	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. nely filed the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 O	ctoher 2005		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			.0
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-16 and 28-42</u> is/ai			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	e withdrawn from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 17-27</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-···	• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	(PTO-413)	

Application/Control Number: 10/809,667 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

1. The objection to the disclosure set forth in the previous Office Action (mailed on 09 August 2005) is withdrawn. The new title has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-11 and 17-27 in the reply filed on 11 October 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) set forth on pages 8-10. This is not found persuasive because the searches for the two groups of claims do not overlap and a serious burden is shown by the different classification of the two groups of claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3. Claims 12-16 and 28-42 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 11 October 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-11 and 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In dependent claims 1 and 17, as amended, are indefinite principally because of the recitation of a "depth" (of a capillary hole of the spinneret) and a "depth to hydraulic diameter (L/D) ratio". The specification, at page 13, uses the terms "depth" and "length (L)" in an equivalent manner, but the rest of the specification (including the examples) uses length (L) and the ratio (L/D) as is conventional in the art (e.g., Mallonee, U.S. Patent 5,811,040 A). If applicant intends to use a term in a manner contrary or inconsistent with its ordinary meaning, then applicant must clearly define (or redefine) the meaning of the term in the written description (MPEP § 2173.05(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1732

7. Claims 1-11 and 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mallonee (U.S. Patent 5,811,040 A) for the reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1732

10. Claims 1-11 and 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mallonee (U.S. Patent 5,811,040 A) for the reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed on 11 October 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues (pages 10-12) that Mallonee does not teach the claimed depth to hydraulic diameter (L/D) ratio set forth in the instant claims. Examiner responds that Mallonee teaches, or renders obvious, the claimed length to diameter (L/D) ratio as it is conventionally used in the prior art (note paragraph 5 above).

Conclusion

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/809,667

Art Unit: 1732

Page 6

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leo B. Tentoni

Primary Examiner

Application/Control Number: 10/809,667

Art Unit: 1732

Art Unit 1732

Page 7

lbt